App. F. IX-4

1933-34
(V P)

File No. 55-33

Previous References

V P 20-29, 1640 21, 23, 24
69-31, 102
20-29, 9 to 14

Serial Nos. 1,

PRESERVED

Later References.

V.P 25-35. (1-2)

p. 64 (E)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Reserved

Serial Nos. /

Part B for September 1935

SUBJECT.

Supply of Water to Cohulefield Village Panchayet in Bangalore Dy.

WD 61965—GPB—15,000—7-7-34

23.5.35

1253

4) 8-3

General and Révenue Secretariat | V/ File No. 58 of 1933. | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

1 Letter no. C. 3027/33-3 dated 11-4-34 from the Secy. Local Fund Department requesting an estimate for Rs 6000 (excluding contingencies Rs 300) for a scheme of water supply to Whitefield village panchayet

---

S 1) The proposed scheme of water supply to the Whitefield village panchayet at cost Rs 6000 excluding a provision of Rs 300 for contingencies. The Trustees of the Lottery Trust have agreed to contribute the entire cost of the scheme, provided the village panchayet undertake to look after the plant and meet the working charges estimated at Rs. per mensem. In their resolution dt 8-3 the village panchayet have agreed to look after the plant and meet the working charges

T 2) The Director of Health Services may please report whether the scheme may be sanctioned.

Dewdney 29/4

27/4

DEVELOPMENT SECRETARIAT 30 APR. 1934 3378

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01536—GPB—7,500—5-4-34

5958

V.P File No. 4165 SS-

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF HEALTH IN MYSORE
Bangalore
G. No. 3 MAY 1934
File No. C 1138
Despatch No.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

4 Forwarded with compliments to the Director of Health unofficially for favour of opinion

D.O. No. D 2115-33
Dy. S. 34

By order
A. Subba Rao
For Development Secretary to Govt.
3/5/34

5 Retransmitted with compliments to the Development Secretary.

D.O. No. C 1138-1
Dy 5-5-34

6 The modified scheme may be sanctioned.

7 It is clearly understood that this will not admit of extension of water supply by pipes to higher lying places. It is a restricted scheme, and does not form part of the big scheme costing Rs.18,000/-. It may serve the colony for some years.

8 The recommendation of the Sanitary Engineer was for 4 H.P. Engine, while the present proposal provides only for 2 H.P. Engine. It is highly doubtful whether a 2 H.P Engine will suffice. It is likely to get overloaded and break down.

Director of Health.

DEVELOPMENT 5 MAY 1934

9 Please put up a draft sanctioning the scheme
7/5

10 Dept-S etc

17/5

Dev Secy)

4133
18/5

18/5

Mr. T. SINGARAVELU MUDALIAR. B.A., B.L.
Private Secretary.

D.O. 3621

Mysore,
19th June 1934.

Dear Mr. Venkatanaranappa,

I am desired to send you the enclosed letter from the Secretary, A-I. & D.E. Association of Mysore and Coorg, Whitefield, to the Dewan on the subject of the provision of a suitable water-supply for Whitefield Colony, and to request that a note on the matter may kindly be sent to me very early for submission to the Dewan.

A deputation from the Association wish to wait on the Dewan in the same connection.

Yours sincerely,

Private Secretary to Dewan.

Please find out where the files re the Water Supply scheme is pending. I think the Director of Health was asked recently to file additional data. The personal clerk of the Dewan may also be asked about this

12 A phone message may also be sent to the Hd Qrs at once for the whereabouts of the file. The file relating to the paper marked A at page 5 of the letter may also be asked for by this night's message

sent 19-6-34

19/6

d 4753

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 53 of 1933. | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.	
P.W.D II	Do letter no. 3621 dt 19/6/34 from the Private Secy to the Dewan enclosing a letter from the Secy A-I-V D.R. Association Mysore & Coorg, Whitefield to the Dewan on the subject of the [illegible] of the Bangalore water supply for the colony etc.
	B Asst Secy's order on P.W.D II Please find out where the file re water supply scheme is pending. I think the opinion of the Director of Health was received recently and the file submitted for orders the personal clerk of the Secy may be asked about this. A phone message may also be sent to the H.O. at once for the whereabouts of the file. The file relating to the portion marked A on page 5 of the letter may also be asked to be sent with this night's messenger Dated. Asst. 1916
14	V.P. files 20-29 of 1904 and 1625/24 relating to the subject of the constitution of a V.P. town colony as 'suburb'. Kind reference is made to no R 5028 B Rev 57-29-33 dt 14/3/31 issued from the Revenue on the subject. It is also ascertained that ~~the opinion~~ some more points require to the questions of the Revenue who were consulted when the request
I	

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01596—GPB—7,500—5-4-34

Whitefield colony water supply etc
L4753

W File No. 58 of 1933. | General and Revenue Secretariat

to put up the same with a note also.
15. Submitted for orders with the file relating to the water supply to the Whitefield colony as directed

2/6

16 The Rev Branch is requested to kindly put up the references available there ~~excluding the letters received~~ out of those mentioned in the letter of the Deputy Commissioner

XXX 20/6

Rev Br
with Camp

17 LR file 2.32.(54,150,151) containing the petition of certain settlers of Whitefield requesting that the plots of land in the village site of Whitefield in their possession might be confirmed to them etc & the action taken thereon, is linked herewith. The Rev Com's report is awaited.

Rev 21/6. The old files relating to Whitefield Settlement are in the linked file.

20/6 21/6

L. S. G. Branch)

dcg 21/6/34.

V

LR 188-29 (2-8)
LR 452-17 (1-32)
V.P. 25-31 (7-8)
RM 57-29 (1-2-6-8)
LR 241-31 (1-5)
LR 314-13 (4-10)

18 The file re beneficiaries of the Lottery Trust Fund referred to in para 5 of the Secy's letter is necessary. Please send it if it is available: otherwise deal with it in the Rev Br & put up the papers.

20/6

4753

Whitefield — Water Supply

Serial No.	
19	V.P. file 69-31 (1-2) relating to the administration of the Lotting Trust Fund is putup.
	Vide H.A.
	20. The note put up by me may be got typed and put up before 11 am tomorrow.
	Read 27/6
21	Typed note putup
	22 — The note is required by the P.S.D for submission to the Dewan
	Dewan Secy) Private Secy to the Dewan)
23	Offr. May I have a copy of the note, please?
	Subd
	28-6-34
	28.6.34
24	C.A. Subd Asstt
	29.6.34

BEWAN'S OFFICE
28 JUNE 1934
No. 82-61

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

Serial No.

The Dy Com^r may be informed that there is no necessity for acqn proceedings in the case as the land has been purchased & is in the enjoyment of the present owner - and that action may be taken to include the land in the Sarvekar

23 NOV. 1933

No

Pen^d Secy

Asst 23/11

To Asst 27/11

Offr submitted for approval.

28.11.33

Issued 5/12

TYPING SECTION 5. DEC. 1933 GENL. & REV SECT.

15 Lr No 6 by Comr Hassan
d-3918 of 11.12.33
States that there is no necessity for acqn proceedings in the case

12.12.33

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31.

Levy of Optional tax in 334 villages

General and Revenue Secretariat | V.P. File No. 22 of 1933. | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

1. From the Revenue Commr No: C. 4 2000/32-33 dt 12.7.

Recommends sanction to the proposal of the Deputy Commr, Bangalore Dist for the levy of optional tax of 2 annas in the rupee of compulsory tax levied in Arabalam village Panchayat Kanthanhalli Taluk in connection with the introduction of electric lights.

The present income of the village Panchayat is reported to be Rs 182 per annum & the cost of 5 electric lights to be installed is Rs 93/-. It is stated that the present income of the Panchayat is inadequate to meet the normal requirements of the Panchayat as well as the cost of electric lighting, the Panchayat has proposed to levy optional tax of two annas in the rupee of compulsory tax now levied, the Extra income anticipated from this levy being Rs 22-12-0. It is seen from the records that the Panchayat were originally not in favour of the levy and that subsequently they have resolved to levy the tax. It is not known what the normal expenditure of the Panchayat is & what amount is there at the credit of the V.P. So the cost of lights is only about one half of the normal receipts, it is for orders whether the question of sanctioning the proposal may be considered after further information regarding the present normal expenditure is obtained.

2 As proposed in another case, the budget Estimates of the Panchayat for 2 or 3 years may be called for to examine the financial condition of the Panchayat

3

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-33

GENERAL SECTT. ARCHIVES 10 AUG 1933 No